

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/500,748	11/30/2004	So H. Lee	5252SNU-1	7184
22442 7 SHERIDAN RO	7590 03/13/2007 OSS PC	EXAMINER		
1560 BROADWAY			SHEN, WU CHENG WINSTON	
SUITE 1200 DENVER, CO 80202			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1632	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
31 DAYS		03/13/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/500,748	LEE ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Wu-Cheng Winston Shen	1632			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_•				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate			
) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:					

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-5 are pending in the instant application.

Election/Restrictions

2. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions, which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

- I. Claims 1-5, drawn to a method of producing a cloned pig expressing a green fluorescent protein gene, comprising the recited steps(a)-(d); a porcine nuclear transfer embryo "SNU-P1 [Porcine NT Embryo]", which is prepared according to the steps (a) to (c) of claim 1, and deposited at KCTC (Korean Collection for Type Cultures) under accession number KCTC 10145BP; and a cloned pig expressing a green fluorescent protein gene.
- II. Claims 6-13, drawn to a method of producing a cloned pig having an alpha-l,3-galactosyltransferase gene knocked out, comprising the recited steps (a) to (e); a porcine nuclear transfer embryo "SNU-P2 [Porcine NT Embryo]", which is prepared according to the steps (a) to (d) of claim 6, and deposited KCTC (Korean Collection for Type Cultures) under accession number KCTC 10146BP;

and a vector carrying a nucleic acid sequence corresponding to a part of intron 8, exon 9 and a part of intron 9 of a GT gene, wherein an AvaI-DraIII fragment of said exon 9 is substituted with a nucleic acid sequence encoding a puromycin-resistant gene linked to a SV40 poly(A) sequence.

3. The inventions listed as Groups I-II do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

Applicant's claims encompass multiple inventions and do not have a special technical feature which link the inventions one to the other, and lack unity of invention. The common technical feature in all groups, is a method of producing a cloned pig, a porcine nuclear embryo, and a cloned pig. However, this common technical feature cannot be a special technical feature under PCT Rule 13.2 because the feature is shown in the prior art.

Li et al teach a method of producing a cloned pig, a porcine nuclear embryo, and a cloned pig (Li et al cloned piglets born after nuclear transplantation of embryonic blastomeres into porcine oocytes matured *in vivo*. *Cloning* 2(1): 45-52, 2000).

Inventions of the Groups I-II are patentably distinct each from the other because the cloned pig of Group I expressing a transgene green fluorescence protein whereas the cloned pig of Group I having a alpha-l, 3-galactosyltransferase (GT) gene knocked out.

The search of the above listed Groups I-II is distinct one from each other and not coextensive and thereby presents search burdens on the examiner.

Page 4

Art Unit: 1632

4. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

5. The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicants traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

6. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication from the examiner should be directed to Wu-Cheng Winston Shen whose telephone number is (571) 272-3157 and Fax number is 571-273Application/Control Number: 10/500,748

Art Unit: 1632

3157. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:00 AM to 4:30

PM. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the supervisory patent

examiner, Peter Paras, can be reached on (571) 272-4517. The fax number for TC 1600 is (571)

273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private

PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you

would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the

automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

PETER PARAS, JR.
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600

Wu-Cheng Winston Shen, Ph. D.

Page 5

Patent Examiner

Art Unit 1632